



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,973	07/12/2001	Joseph A. Schrader	164052.02	9505
22971	7590	05/16/2008	EXAMINER	
MICROSOFT CORPORATION			BUL, KIEU OANH T	
ONE MICROSOFT WAY			ART UNIT	
REDMOND, WA 98052-6399			PAPER NUMBER	
2623				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/16/2008		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

roks@microsoft.com
ntovar@microsoft.com
a-rydore@microsoft.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/903,973	SCHRADER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	KIEU-OANH BUI	2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(o).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2008.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 7-9, 11-13, 16-18 and 29 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 7-9, 11-13, 16-18, 29 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/08/08 has been entered.

Remarks

2. Claims 1-6, 10, 14-15, 19-28, and 30-40 have been previously cancelled. Claims 7-9, 11-13, 16-18 and 29 are pending for reconsideration.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7-9, 11-13, 16-18 and 29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 7-9, 11-13, 16, 18, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Boyer et al. (US Patent 7,165,098 B1).

Regarding claims 7 and 29 (corresponding method), Boyer teaches a method for presenting enhanced broadcast television programming (Fig. 1 & 3 and col. 6/lines 45-63 for an enhanced broadcast television system with the incorporation of the Internet) comprising the steps of: receiving a schedule for a plurality of broadcast television listings, each of the plurality of television listings including a unique event identifier (Figs. 4 & 6a, and col. 4/lines 25-41 for unique event identifiers); receiving enhanced Internet protocol (IP) data including an event identifier associating the IP data with one of the plurality of television listings, wherein the IP data corresponds to broadcast television programming currently available to a viewer (col. 8/line 59 to col. 10/line 42 as TCP/IP protocol is used for representing IP data corresponding to the broadcast television programming using HTML pages); presenting a visual cue to the viewer based on the IP data on a video display wherein the visual cue comprises an active status indicator indicating the status of one or more currently available broadcast television program; receiving a viewer selection of the visual cue; and tuning to the channel associated with the event

identifier in response to viewer selection of the visual cue" (Fig. 4 with visual cues for setting the programs based on the active status as for today's schedule, this week, this month, reminder delivery etc. and/or as in Fig. 6a for active status of currently available program as title, actor, channel, start time or rating etc. or the genre of the program(s)).

For claim 8, Boyer teaches "wherein the video display is a conventional television receiver" (Fig. 3/item 120 or 112).

For claim 9, Boyer teaches "wherein the Internet protocol data comprises a portion of the available television programming" (Fig. 23 and col. 19/line 48 to col. 20/line 27).

(Claim 10 is cancelled).

For claims 11-13, these claims for the steps of "wherein the Internet protocol data portion is filtered to correspond to currently available sports television programming"; "wherein the Internet protocol data portion is filtered to correspond to other sports television programming currently in progress"; and "wherein the portion corresponding to the available television programming is the same as the event identifier corresponding to the IP data" are taught by Boyer as discussed earlier and further in Figs. 6a & 8 for sports and other categories as well as Fig. 22 and col. 12/lines 22-51 on how the data is being filtered to sports).

As for claim 16, Boyer teaches "a client system for receiving a broadcast television navigation service comprising: means for receiving broadcast television programming (Fig. 1 & 3 and col. 6/lines 45-63 for an enhanced broadcast television system with the incorporation of the Internet); means for receiving Internet protocol (IP) data that is not provided in a program band of the broadcast television programming (Fig. 3 and col. 9/lines 23-30 as the television signals and the internet data are received from 2 different inputs 118 for TV and web communication

link 104 –which is clearly not in a program band of the TV programming), wherein the IP data corresponds to broadcast television programming currently available to a viewer (col. 8/line 59 to col. 10/line 42 as TCP/IP protocol is used for representing IP data corresponding to the broadcast television programming using HTML pages); and means for linking the broadcast television programming with the Internet protocol data; means for receiving enhanced Internet protocol (IP) data including an event identifier associating the IP data with one of the plurality of television listings, wherein the IP data corresponds to broadcast television programming currently available to a viewer (col. 8/line 59 to col. 10/line 42 as TCP/IP protocol is used for representing IP data corresponding to the broadcast television programming using HTML pages); presenting a visual cue to the viewer based on the IP data on a video display wherein the visual cue comprises an active status indicator indicating the status of one or more currently available broadcast television program; receiving a viewer selection of the visual cue; and tuning to the channel associated with the event identifier in response to viewer selection of the visual cue” (Fig. 4 with visual cues for setting the programs based on the active status as for today's schedule, this week, this month, reminder delivery etc. and/or as in Fig. 6a for active status of currently available program as title, actor, channel, start time or rating etc. or the genre of the program(s)).

As for claim 18, Boyer further teaches this recording feature for recording one or more of the received broadcast television programming (Fig.2 item 68).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyer et al (US Patent 7,165,098 B1) in view of Dougherty et al. (US Patent 7,028,327).

Regarding claim 17, Boyer does not suggest using “digital tuners” or “multiple digital tuners”; however, this technique of “wherein said means for receiving broadcast television programming and means for receiving Internet protocol data comprises multiple digital tuners” is known in the art. In fact, Dougherty teaches the same technique of using digital tuners in their system in order to synchronize with digital broadcast program while using electronic program guide to search for program listings (Fig. 2/item 202, and col. 13/line 50 to col. 14/line 2, wherein tuner can be a digital tuner). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Boyer’s system with Dougherty’s teaching system in order to equip the system with digital tuners for receiving digital broadcasting programs. The motivation for doing this is to offer an adaptation in receivers by using digital tuners for receiving and tuning to appropriate digital streaming programs instead of an analog tuner for receiving conventional (analog) television broadcast.

Conclusion

8. **Any response to this action should be mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to PTO New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window,
Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to "Krista" Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM, which alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/KIEU-OANH BUI/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2623